UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ı	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
•	10/500,754	03/17/2005	Giovanni Bocola	1011-637	6838
	.,	7590 04/10/2007 COSTIGAN P.C. E OF THE AMERICAS		EXAMINER	
	1185 AVENUE			SMALLEY, JAMES N	
	NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3781	
	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•	3 MO	NTHS	04/10/2007	PAP	ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

·		
, ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/500,754	BOCOLA, GIOVANNI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•.	James N. Smalley	3781
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 'CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Sply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 3 Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice of the closed in accordance with the closed in accordance with the closed in accordance with	This action is non-final. allowance except for formal matter under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. cation. withdrawn from consideration. n and/or election requirement. xaminer. □ accepted or b)□ objected to be to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan.	oy the Examiner. ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/500,754

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 3-8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only--, and/or, --cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 3-8 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seban WO 01/72167.

Seban '167 teaches a container for make-up comprising an inner vessel (2a), an outer mantle (5) extending lower than the inner vessel and a closure (4) formed in one piece with the container.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sharkan et al. US 5,209,184.

Sharkan '184 teaches a pet travel bowl comprising an inner vessel (22), an outer mantle (24) extending lower than the inner vessel. Examiner notes that while there is hook and loop fastener (36) disposed on the bottom of the bowl, the actual bowl bottom surface (22b) does not extend as far as the bottom edge of the outer mantle (24).

Examiner notes the container is capable of being used in the intended manner, i.e. it is capable of holding cosmetic and pharmaceutical creams. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the

Art Unit: 3781

manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Friedman US 1,852,455.

Friedman '455 teaches a container for make-up comprising an inner vessel (3), an outer mantle

(2) extending lower than the inner vessel.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharkan et al. US 5,209,184.

Sharkan '184 fails to teach the cover molded in one piece with the container.

Examiner notes it is well known to form tethered closures integral with their containers motivated by the benefit of preventing separation of the cap and container, should the snap connection such as that in Sharkan '184 come undone.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the closure cap of Sharkan '184, forming it integral with the container, motivated by the benefit of preventing separation of the cap and container, should the snap connection come undone, because it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. *Howard v. Detroit Stove Works*, 150 U.S. 164 (1893).

Art Unit: 3781

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: See attached PTO-892 citing relevant references.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James N. Smalley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4547. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10 am - 7 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571) 272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

jns

ANTHONY D. STASHICK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700